


Evaluator:   
 Class: *ENV 301 COMEC readiness*  
 Presentations  
 Semester: *Spring 2022*

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names: A. *Norhan* B. *Conner B* C. *Kamryn* D. *Jack*

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction	3	2	3	1
Arrangement of material	1	1	1	2
Coverage of topic	2	1	1	1
Time management	1	1	4	1

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate	1	1	1	1
Eye contact	2	2	3	2
Free of distracting gestures	2	1	1	1

**III. Speech**

Enunciation	1	1	3	1
Volume	1	3	2	3
Rate	1	1	2	1
Grammar and language use	1	1	1	1
Dependence on notes	3	2	2	1

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality	1	1	1	2
Visibility	1	1	1	1
Composition	1	1	1	1
Utilization	1	1	1	1

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions	1	1	1	1
Attitude toward questions	1	1	1	1

**VI. Overall presentation**

1.5                      1.5                      2                      1.5

Comments for speaker A  
*Well done, engaging, had a nice flow, good presentation visually*

Comments for speaker B

Good presentation, nice visuals, covered everything that seemed relevant

Comments for speaker C

Shorter presentation, and known scans interpreted at public speaking, but that can be changed with practice. Good presentation visually

Comments for speaker D

Good speaker, engaging, covered topics well, visually a presentation would have been better, but for it just being a document it was not too far off

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names: A. Nathan

B. Connor B.

C. Kannon

D. Jack

**I. Organization & Content** |

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

1

3

1

2

1

1

4

2

1

1

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate 2

Eye contact 2

Free of distracting gestures 3

3

1

2

1

1

**III. Speech** |

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes 3

1

2

**IV. Visual Aids** |

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

1

1

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

1

2

1

**VI. Overall presentation** |

Comments for speaker A

could use less distracting gestures

Comments for speaker B

Not a whole lot of eye contact, otherwise was good

Comments for speaker C

presentation ran a little short

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan R.

B. Conner

C. Kannon L.

D. Jack R.

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

1

1

2

1

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

2

2

2

1

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

2

1

2

1

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

1

1

2

1

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

1

1

1

1

**VI. Overall presentation**

1

1

2

1

Comments for speaker A

The ONLY issue was there was very little eye contact. Otherwise, Great Presentation!

Comments for speaker B

eye contact was a bit of  
an issue, but overall great job!

Comments for speaker C

Shorter presentation, but hit all  
the main points

Comments for speaker D

NO issues at all  
Good job

Evaluator: [redacted]

Class:  
Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Reinke

B. Conner B.

C. Kannon L

D. Jack R

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

Comments for speaker A

Great job, very well prepared  
and overall presentation flowed very  
well

Very good flow to  
presentation, very  
well prepared

Good speaker,  
good volume.  
Could've been  
a little longer.

Good speaker,  
passionate,  
could've had  
visual aids

1

1

1

1

2

1

1

2

1

1

3

3

1

2

2

Comments for speaker B

Comments for speaker C

Comments for speaker D



Evaluator:

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

Nathan

Connor B.

Kannon

Jacks

A.

B.

C.

D.

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

Good eye contact.  
Works on not shift your feet

5

3

4

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

5 so much (Nathan's comments)

5

4

4

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

4

5

3

3

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

5

4

4

2

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

5

5

4

5

**VI. Overall presentation**

5

5

4

3.6

Comments for speaker A

Comments for speaker B

Very good presentation, clear wording.

Comments for speaker C

good information, It would be beneficial to practice more inflection when speaking

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester: Spring

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Reince

B. Connor Bohlen

C. Kannon Leuenberger

D. Jack Ramler

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

1

1

1

1

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

1

1

2

1

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

1

1

1

1

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

1

1

2

2

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

1

1

1

1

**VI. Overall presentation**

1

1

2

1

Comments for speaker A

Amazing Job.

Very well prepared

10/10

Very well prepared  
Did a very great job.

10/10

Good job  
- Went pretty fast

Good job  
Keynote might have been easier

Comments for speaker B

Comments for speaker C

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names: A. Connor Bohlen B. Kannon Leutenbach C. Jack Ramler D. Nathan

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

Comments for speaker A

Very good speaker,  
just take a peak  
at audience a  
little more

~~Add some  
pictures~~

2/40

	1	1	1
		2	2
	1		
		2	2
	1		
		2	2
	2	2	2
	1		
		2	2
	1	2	2

Comments for speaker B

Add some pictures.

Comments for speaker C

I think you have a good start for testing these waters in Water Quality, continue to expand terminology. ~~to~~

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class: *Car. Readiness*  
Presentations

Semester: *Spring*

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. *Nathen Reinke*

B. *Conner Bohlen*

C. *Kainnon Lumberger*

D. *Jack Ramler*

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

|

|

|

|

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

|

|

|

|

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

|

|

|

|

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

|

|

|

|

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

|

|

|

|

**VI. Overall presentation**

|

|

|

|

Comments for speaker A

*Well thought out  
rehearsed presentation*

*Great  
Speech*

*DNR  
would be  
super cool*


*Good  
Presentation*

Comments for speaker B

Comments for speaker C

Comments for speaker D



Evaluator:   
 Class: Career Readiness  
 Presentations  
 Semester:

- 1 - excellent
- 2 - good
- 3 - fine
- 4 - bare minimum
- 5 - needs improvement

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names: A. Nathan Reinke

B. Cennur B. C. Kannon L. D. Jack R.

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction  
 Arrangement of material  
 Coverage of topic  
 Time management

	1	1	3	3

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate  
 Eye contact  
 Free of distracting gestures

	3	2	4	1

**III. Speech**

Enunciation  
 Volume  
 Rate  
 Grammar and language use  
 Dependence on notes

	1	2	4	2

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality  
 Visibility  
 Composition  
 Utilization

	2	2	3	2

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions  
 Attitude toward questions

	1	2	2	2

**VI. Overall presentation**

	2	2	3	2

Comments for speaker A

a bit of reliance on notes, good elaboration on skills, could use more eye contact, strong voice, good pictures of himself that relate to his field, good job not just reading all the slides, some slides were more pleasing to look at than others, lots of ties back to our speakers

#### Comments for speaker B

I like the introduction (background + current), good connection to a speaker, independence from notes, could have more eye contact. I like the end quote, lots of personality in the presentation. Strong voice.

#### Comments for speaker C

lots of comic, weak introduction, not much elaboration on slides, I don't think he had any notes, very short, connection to Lynn,

#### Comments for speaker D

no slides, good eye contact, nice introduction, tie back to a past speaker, no notes but good elaboration, can see his passion for the environment, for being a first year he has a good layout + plan for his delivery. a little bit on the longer side, could've went through presentation and picked the most relevant parts.

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names: A. Nathan B. Connor C. Kannon D. Jack

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction		2		
Arrangement of material	1			1
Coverage of topic				
Time management				

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate				
Eye contact	1	4		
Free of distracting gestures				

**III. Speech**

Enunciation				
Volume				
Rate		2		
Grammar and language use	1			1
Dependence on notes				

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality				
Visibility	1	2	3	1
Composition				
Utilization				

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions	1			1
Attitude toward questions		1		

**VI. Overall presentation**

Overall presentation	1	1		
----------------------	---	---	--	--

Comments for speaker A

Wish I saw it

--  
~

Comments for speaker B

very good presentation

very good voice

Comments for speaker C

- was nervous

- shaky voice

Comments for speaker D

Did a very good job presenting. Very solid.

Maybe a little more eye contact, but very good.

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class: Environmental Cancer Seminar MWF 10am

Presentations

Semester: Spring 2022

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Reinke

B. Conner Boehnen

C. Kurnon L.

D. Jack R.

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

2

1

3

1

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

1

3

2

2

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

2

2

1

2

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

1

1

2

3

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

1

1

1

1

**VI. Overall presentation**

2

2

2

2

Comments for speaker A

I thought this speaker did a really good job presenting the information in an engaging way that wasn't too long or drawn out, hit all the main points and also added future goals which was nice

Comments for speaker B

I also thought this was a really good presentation that was thorough and interesting, I like how he acknowledged that he might not know exactly what career to go into, but he still had future goals. The one thing I would say is maybe to face the front a little more instead of the side but it was good!

Comments for speaker C

This presentation was a little bit shorter than the next, but I still think I got the main idea of the job he wants to go into, and I think it's cool that he has a lot of tangible goals throughout college even before graduation with the internships and jobs.

Comments for speaker D

I thought this ~~was~~ was a really good and thorough presentation, and he definitely hit all of the requirements which was nice.

Evaluator: [REDACTED]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan

B. Conner

C. Kannon

D. Jack

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

Comments for speaker A

Nathans presentation was  
clean + well crafted.

	A. Nathan	B. Conner	C. Kannon	D. Jack
<b>I. Organization &amp; Content</b>				
Introduction	1		2	1
Arrangement of material	1			
Coverage of topic				
Time management				
<b>II. Mannerisms</b>				
Desire to communicate	1		2	1
Eye contact				
Free of distracting gestures				
<b>III. Speech</b>				
Enunciation	1		1	1
Volume				
Rate				
Grammar and language use				
Dependence on notes				
<b>IV. Visual Aids</b>				
Quality	1		2	1
Visibility				
Composition				
Utilization				
<b>V. Questions and Answers</b>				
Reply to questions	1		1	1
Attitude toward questions				
<b>VI. Overall presentation</b>	1		2	1

Comments for speaker B

myself

Comments for speaker C

Presentation felt a little short

Comments for speaker D

He looked comfortable talking to us. Only a freshman, has time to figure it out.



Evaluator: [REDACTED]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Reinke

B. CONNER B

C. Kannon

D. Jack

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

1

2

3

2

Comments for speaker A

- Really good public speaker.
- Calm and collected
- made eye contact really well

Comments for speaker B

- good presentation
- good public speaker

Comments for speaker C

- was a bit short
- went pretty fast, should have taken a deep breath and slowed down

Comments for speaker D

- liked the presentation
- overall he did well

Evaluator: [REDACTED]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan R

B. CONNOR R

C. Kannon L.

D. Jack R

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

1

1

3

1

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

1

2

3

2

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

2

2

2

2

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

1

1

4

2

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

1

1

1

1

**VI. Overall presentation**

4

1

3

2

Comments for speaker A

Very well put together  
Presentation, wanted  
to be up there, clear and  
interesting to listen to

Comments for speaker B

Comments for speaker C

Very fast, didn't act like he wanted to be there,  
no pictures,

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester: 2

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Reinkes

Biology, Ecology, Evolution + the nature of science

he recommends taking it!

B. Conner B

C. Kannon C

D. Jack R

I. Organization & Content

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

II. Mannerisms

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

III. Speech

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

IV. Visual Aids

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

V. Questions and Answers

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

VI. Overall presentation

Comments for speaker A

He did an amazing job being prepared for this presentation. He had so much knowledge about what he wants to do. Also he talked with a ton of confidence.

Very experienced worker and very confident in himself. Can tell he will go far. Really cool to see his passion for the environment.

His speech was short with not much visual aids. But he knows what he wants + how to get it.

I wish he made a powerpoint, he shared alot that he probably didn't need to share. But he did some humor to keep us engaged. He seemed very prepared + he knew what he wants to do.

	1	1	1
	1	2	1
	1	1	1
	1	3	3
	1	1	1
	1	2	2

Comments for speaker B

Oops! I did not see there  
was a sack → I put it all  
on the front

Comments for speaker C

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [REDACTED]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Reinko

B. Connor B

C. Kannon Leuenberger

D. Jack Ramir

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

2

2

2

2

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

2

2

3

2

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

2

2

2

2

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

2

2

3

2

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

2

2

2

2

**VI. Overall presentation**

2

2

2

2

Comments for speaker A

Comments for speaker B

Comments for speaker C

Comments for speaker D



Biology, Ecology, Evolution, & the Nature of Science

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan R.

B. Connor B.

C. Kannon C.

D. Jack R.

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

	A. Nathan R.	B. Connor B.	C. Kannon C.	D. Jack R.
Introduction		1		1
Arrangement of material	1		2	
Coverage of topic				
Time management				
Desire to communicate		1		1
Eye contact	1		1	
Free of distracting gestures				
Enunciation				
Volume				1
Rate	1		1	
Grammar and language use				
Dependence on notes				
Quality	1	Loved the pictures	2	2
Visibility				
Composition				
Utilization				
Reply to questions	1		1	1
Attitude toward questions				
Overall presentation	1		2	1

Comments for speaker A

Good job! He seemed super passionate about this which really helped his presentation.

Comments for speaker B

He has a lot of experience & that is always helpful no matter the path he ends up choosing.

Comments for speaker C

He didn't seem as prepared for this as some of the others but despite that he did a good job.

Comments for speaker D

There were some things that he didn't necessarily need to cover but it was nice that he did.

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class: Career Readiness Presentations

Semester: Spring 2022

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names: A. Nathan R. B. Connor B. C. Kanner L. D. Jack R.

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction 1  
Arrangement of material 1  
Coverage of topic  
Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate 1  
Eye contact  
Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation  
Volume 1  
Rate  
Grammar and language use  
Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality  
Visibility 3  
Composition  
Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions 1  
Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

1

Comments for speaker A

good presentation overall!  
bullet points were small and hard to read

Comments for speaker B

great presentation!

Comments for speaker C

little short on time,  
could of expanded on  
covered topics more to  
take up time, sounds  
like you have some  
good goals for furthering  
your experience & skills! ☺

Comments for speaker D

no powerpoint, which is okay  
but paper was too small to  
read, sounds like you have  
a good idea of what you  
want to work on over the  
next few years while at UNI

Evaluator: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan Remke

B. Connor Bohlen

C. Keran L.

D. Jack Hamler

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction

Arrangement of material

Coverage of topic

Time management

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate

Eye contact

Free of distracting gestures

**III. Speech**

Enunciation

Volume

Rate

Grammar and language use

Dependence on notes

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality

Visibility

Composition

Utilization

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions

Attitude toward questions

**VI. Overall presentation**

	A. Nathan Remke	B. Connor Bohlen	C. Keran L.	D. Jack Hamler
<b>I. Organization &amp; Content</b>				
Introduction	1	1	1	1
Arrangement of material				
Coverage of topic				
Time management				
<b>II. Mannerisms</b>				
Desire to communicate	2	2	2	1
Eye contact				
Free of distracting gestures				
<b>III. Speech</b>				
Enunciation	1	1	2	1
Volume				
Rate				
Grammar and language use				
Dependence on notes				
<b>IV. Visual Aids</b>				
Quality	1	1	2	2
Visibility				
Composition				
Utilization				
<b>V. Questions and Answers</b>				
Reply to questions	1	1	2	
Attitude toward questions				
<b>VI. Overall presentation</b>				
	1	1	2	1

Comments for speaker A

Covered a lot of main points from his environmental career plan. Really well done.

Comments for speaker B

Very well put together + covered a lot of important topics

Comments for speaker C

Little vague but he seems to have an idea of what career path  
+ things/skills he would need to get there

Comments for speaker D

Evaluator: [Redacted]

Class:

Presentations

Semester:

1 = Excellent to 5 = Needs Improvement

Speaker Names:

A. Nathan R

B. Connor

C. Keane

D. Jack

**I. Organization & Content**

Introduction \

Arrangement of material \

Coverage of topic 2

Time management 2

2 2 2 2

2 2 2 2

2 2 2 2

**II. Mannerisms**

Desire to communicate \

Eye contact \

Free of distracting gestures \

2 2 2

2 2 2

2 2 2

**III. Speech**

Enunciation \

Volume \

Rate \

Grammar and language use \

Dependence on notes 2

2 1 2 1

2 1 2 1

2 1 2 1

**IV. Visual Aids**

Quality \

Visibility \

Composition \

Utilization \

4 4 4 4

4 4 4 4

4 4 4 4

**V. Questions and Answers**

Reply to questions \

Attitude toward questions \

3 2

3 2

3 2

**VI. Overall presentation**

Comments for speaker A

Very well put together and the information was compact and easy to understand

Comments for speaker B

layout was pretty good, I liked hearing  
about the machinery and experience with  
it

Comments for speaker C

Could use a little more evaluation to  
meet time requirements

Comments for speaker D